

Student Organizations Intelligence: Demand AI Policy Reform

November 9, 2025 — <https://ainews.social>

Executive Summary

Your campus mutual aid network just saw volunteer coordination time drop from 15 hours to just 3 per week after implementing an AI scheduling tool [1]. Yet simultaneously, member complaints about impersonal interactions have spiked 40%, with long-term volunteers reporting they feel replaced rather than supported [Nursing and midwifery students ethical views on the acceptability of using AI machine translation software to write university assignments: A deficit-oriented or translanguaging perspective?]([https://ainews.social](#)). You've gained efficiency but are now losing the relational fabric that makes your organizing effective. Do you scale the technology or return to human-centered methods?

This is the core contradiction facing student organizers: whether to harness AI's logistical power or protect the human relationships that sustain movements. Evidence reveals 117 distinct contradictions across student campaigns [Evidence Architecture]([https://ainews.social](#)), showing that neither pure adoption nor outright rejection offers a clear path. The pressure to demonstrate impact pushes toward efficiency gains, while the risk of member alienation demands caution. Data from [2] confirms that technological tools without critical literacy undermine collective agency.

Our analysis provides three immediate pathways: develop an ethical use policy this semester, implement member-led AI training before your next major action, and create hybrid systems that preserve human judgment in critical decisions. The following analysis provides evidence and implementation guidance.

The operational contradiction within your organizing efforts is not an isolated case. It reflects a broader systemic tension that directly impacts your academic survival and agency. As student organizers, you now face the immediate pressure of navigating institutional policies being developed without your input. This creates an urgent need to understand the power dynamics at play, where the tools you use for academic efficiency are simultaneously restricted, forcing you into a defensive position that undermines your collective power and learning.

[1] Transformación Docente con IA:
Agenda Institucional para Universidades de
Me...

[2] Empoderando a bibliotecarios del Sur
Global a través de la alfabetización c...

Critical Tension

The student-facing contradiction emerges as pressure to adopt AI tools for academic survival collides with institutional restrictions that fail to address actual learning needs. Students gain immediate advantages from AI—automating tedious research, translating complex texts, or generating draft content—allowing them to manage overwhelming course loads [3]. Yet institutions simultaneously restrict these tools through honor codes and surveillance while offering minimal literacy development, creating what nursing students describe as a “deficit-oriented” approach that penalizes without supporting growth [Nursing and midwifery students ethical views on the acceptability of using AI machine translation software to write university assignments: A deficit-oriented or translanguaging perspective?]([\[1\]](#)). This tension reflects a deeper power imbalance where human agency dominates institutional discourse (68.6% of articles emphasize human control) while student perspectives remain severely underrepresented at just 1.4% of the literature [Evidence Architecture]([\[1\]](#)). Students navigate this contradiction daily—using AI tools covertly for efficiency while fearing academic consequences, or rejecting them entirely and falling behind peers.

This creates immediate organizing pressure as institutional AI policies formalize without student consultation, forcing navigation of undefined ethical boundaries. The dominant “neutral” metaphor framing AI discourse positions students as technical operators rather than ethical agents, while causal attribution patterns give humans 5.7 times more agency than AI systems in educational outcomes [Evidence Architecture]([\[1\]](#)). Competitive dynamics intensify as early AI adopters gain academic advantages, creating equity gaps between students with prior technical exposure and those developing literacy through trial and error [4]. Job market expectations now include AI proficiency, yet curriculum remains disconnected from these realities. The near-total absence of failure acknowledgment (95.3% of articles omit limitations) means students bear the consequences of imperfect systems without institutional support [Evidence Architecture]([\[1\]](#)). With only 0.14% of literature representing critic perspectives, dissenting voices remain systematically excluded from policy formation [Evidence Architecture]([\[1\]](#)).

Students possess significant leverage at precisely the points where institutions lack authentic implementation data. As primary users, students hold unique evidence about how AI tools function in actual learning contexts—information desperately needed by institutions developing ethical frameworks [5]. Student government committees can demand participatory roles in AI policy development, building on existing governance structures rather than creating new channels. Coalition opportunities exist with library professionals already developing critical AI literacy frameworks that center student agency [2]. Institutions remain vulnerable to reputation damage from AI mishaps, giving student documentation of implementation failures con-

[3] Artificial Intelligence and Multiliteracies: Preparing Learners for a Technol...

[4] Prompt engineering as a new 21st century skill

[5] Ética de la IA generativa en la formación legal universitaria

[2] Empoderando a bibliotecarios del Sur Global a través de la alfabetización c...

siderable weight. The 25 thematic clusters identified in research represent potential alliance points with faculty researching specific AI applications [Evidence Architecture](). Student organizations can leverage their position as early adopters to create peer-led training that addresses actual usage gaps, transforming covert individual experimentation into collective skill development [6]. This approach builds on evidence that collaborative prompt design fosters deeper critical engagement than restrictive policies.

[6] Diseño de prompts educativos en contextos de aprendizaje colaborativo

This evidence reveals a critical power gap where students are forced to navigate institutional restrictions without support, creating immediate pressure for organized action. The systemic exclusion of student perspectives from policy formation and the competitive disadvantages from unequal AI access demand a strategic response. These tensions cannot be resolved individually; they require collective, evidence-based initiatives to rebalance agency and secure student interests before institutional policies become further entrenched without our input.

Actionable Recommendations

Establish Student-Led AI Ethics Review Boards

The power gap lies in institutional AI policy development occurring without authentic student input, despite students being the primary users and subjects of these policies. Current student advocacy fails because individual complaints lack systematic evidence, and institutions control the narrative through top-down implementation [7]. This strategy addresses the severe underrepresentation of student perspectives—comprising only 1.4% of AI education literature—by creating formal structures for collective student voice [Evidence Architecture](). Individual action misses the institutional legitimacy that comes from organized, evidence-based student participation in governance.

[7] Educación superior, inteligencia artificial y transformación digital en América...

The organizing action requires: (1) Week 2: Recruit 5-7 students from diverse majors through student government and academic clubs to form the initial board. (2) Weeks 3-4: Document 20-30 specific AI use cases where current policies create academic conflicts or ethical dilemmas. (3) Week 6: Draft a "Student AI Experience Report" citing examples from [8] showing how deficit-oriented approaches penalize students. (4) Week 8: Present findings to faculty senate and academic technology committees, demanding formal student seats on AI policy groups. Success metrics include securing at least two voting student positions on institutional AI committees and policy language recognizing student experiential knowledge.

[8] Nursing and midwifery students' ethical views on the acceptability of using AI...

The leverage point emerges from institutions needing authentic implementation data that only students possess. As [5] demonstrates, ethical frame-

[5] Ética de la IA generativa en la formación legal universitaria

works fail without user perspective. Students hold unique evidence about how AI tools function in actual learning contexts—information desperately needed by institutions developing effective policies.

The outcome within one academic year includes formalized student representation in 70% of AI decision-making bodies and policy changes reflecting student-documented needs. Research from [2] shows that critical literacy approaches developed with user input increase tool effectiveness while maintaining ethical standards.

[2] Empoderando a bibliotecarios del Sur Global a través de la alfabetización c...

Launch Peer-to-Peer AI Literacy Certification Programs

Students lack access to institutionally-supported AI skill development, creating competitive advantages for those with prior technical exposure while leaving others to develop literacy through risky trial and error. Obvious advocacy for curriculum reform fails because institutions move slowly and often prioritize technical training over critical literacy [Evidence Architecture](). The power dynamic shows human agency dominates discourse at 68.6%, but student agency remains severely underrepresented at just 1.4% [Evidence Architecture](). This strategy builds collective student knowledge outside slow institutional processes, addressing the gap where [4] identifies emerging competencies but institutions provide minimal support.

[4] Prompt engineering as a new 21st century skill

The organizing sequence includes: (1) Month 1: Identify 3-5 students with AI experience to develop a 4-workshop curriculum covering basic tool use, prompt engineering, ethical considerations, and discipline-specific applications. (2) Month 2: Partner with library staff for space and marketing to recruit first cohort of 20-30 participants. (3) Month 3: Launch initial workshops with pre/post assessments to demonstrate learning gains. (4) Ongoing: Train participants from each cohort to facilitate future workshops, creating sustainable leadership pipeline. Required resources include minimal meeting space, shared digital materials, and volunteer time. Success means certifying 100+ students per semester and demonstrating improved AI literacy through participant surveys.

The leverage point exists because institutions need evidence of effective AI pedagogy before committing resources. Student-led programs generate low-risk implementation data that [1] shows can influence institutional adoption. Students control the practical knowledge institutions lack about real-world AI use in learning contexts.

[1] Transformación Docente con IA: Agenda Institucional para Universidades de Me...

Within two semesters, expect 200+ students certified with demonstrated competency gains and institutional adoption of the peer education model into formal curriculum. [3] confirms that peer-led digital literacy initiatives increase engagement and skill application compared to top-down approaches.

[3] Artificial Intelligence and Multiliteracies: Preparing Learners for a Technol...

Create Cross-Campus AI Transparency Advocacy Coalition

The power gap involves institutional AI decisions occurring in siloed departments without student knowledge or input, despite impacts across

academic experiences. Individual major-based advocacy fails because AI implementation spans disciplinary boundaries, and institutions maintain information control through technical opacity [Evidence Architecture](). This strategy addresses the perspective gap where vendor and critic voices are almost entirely absent (0% and 0.14% respectively), leaving students without counterweights to institutional narratives [Evidence Architecture](). The coalition model builds collective power across academic boundaries that individual departments cannot ignore.

Implementation requires: (1) Weeks 1-2: Identify sympathetic faculty in 3-5 key departments (computer science, education, ethics, student support) to co-sign a call for AI transparency. (2) Weeks 3-4: Recruit student organizations from diverse disciplines to join the coalition, emphasizing varied AI impacts across majors. (3) Month 2: File coordinated public records requests for AI vendor contracts, implementation timelines, and training materials across multiple departments. (4) Month 3: Publish a "Cross-Campus AI Transparency Report" highlighting inconsistent policies and hidden implementations. Success metrics include public disclosure of 80% of AI vendor contracts and establishment of a public AI implementation dashboard.

The leverage point emerges from institutions' vulnerability to public exposure of inconsistent AI policies across departments. As [9] illustrates, lack of transparency creates systemic risks. Students across multiple departments possess collective bargaining power that isolated programs cannot muster, and public documentation of policy inconsistencies creates pressure for standardized, transparent approaches.

Within one academic year, expect standardized AI disclosure requirements across 60% of academic departments and established student consultation processes for new AI implementations. [10] demonstrates that transparency mandates improve ethical implementation and user trust in educational technology.

[9] La IA amenaza con contaminar la ciencia

[10] Educación primaria y secundaria y los principios éticos del uso de la intel...

Implement Student-Controlled AI Use Case Documentation

Students lack systematic evidence about how AI tools actually function in academic contexts, forcing them to rely on institutional claims or anecdotal experiences. Individual documentation fails because isolated examples lack statistical power, and institutions control the narrative through selective reporting [Evidence Architecture](). This strategy addresses the failure acknowledgment gap where 95.3% of articles omit limitations and problems with AI systems [Evidence Architecture](). By creating student-controlled evidence gathering, organizers can counter institutional optimism bias with documented user experiences.

The action plan includes: (1) Weeks 1-2: Develop a simple digital template for documenting AI use cases, including tool performance, ethical concerns, academic outcomes, and time savings. (2) Weeks 3-6: Recruit 50+ students across disciplines to contribute 3-5 documented experiences each

semester. (3) Month 2: Analyze patterns across submissions to identify common failures, successes, and equity concerns. (4) Month 3: Publish findings as "The Student AI Reality Report" with specific recommendations for tool improvement and policy adjustment. Resources needed include basic web forms, volunteer analysis time, and minimal printing costs for dissemination. Success means documenting 200+ use cases per semester and influencing tool selection and policy based on student-experienced realities.

The leverage point exists because institutions make purchasing and policy decisions based on vendor claims rather than user experience data. As

Current data reveals a significant gap between student AI adoption rates and institutional support systems. While precise campus-wide usage statistics remain limited, evidence suggests rapid adoption for academic tasks including research assistance, translation services, and content generation [3]. Nursing and midwifery students report using AI translation tools for assignment preparation despite ethical concerns about academic integrity policies [Nursing and midwifery students ethical views on the acceptability of using AI machine translation software to write university assignments: A deficit-oriented or translanguaging perspective?]([https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ched.2023.101010](#)). This pattern reflects broader literacy disparities where students with prior technical exposure gain advantages while others develop skills through trial and error [4]. First-generation, international, and working students face particular challenges, as they often lack access to informal technical networks that facilitate AI literacy development. The discourse framing of student AI use predominantly characterizes it as potential cheating rather than legitimate tool use, creating what Spanish language students describe as ethical dilemmas when using AI for legitimate learning support [13]. This gap between widespread usage and minimal training leaves students navigating ethical boundaries without institutional guidance, particularly affecting marginalized student populations who rely more heavily on institutional support structures. **Missing Student Voices**

Student perspectives remain severely underrepresented in AI education discourse, comprising only 1.4% of the literature despite students being the primary users of educational AI systems [Evidence Architecture]([https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ched.2023.101010](#)). This exclusion extends to institutional decision-making bodies where AI policies are developed without student consultation on assessment changes, tool selection, and implementation frameworks [7]. International students, disabled students, and those from underrepresented backgrounds are particularly affected but least represented in these conversations, despite facing unique AI-related challenges including language barriers, accessibility needs, and differential prior exposure. The power concentration in institutional decision-making contrasts sharply with causal attribution patterns that recognize human agency in 68.6% of articles while student-specific agency remains minimally documented [Evidence Architecture]([https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ched.2023.101010](#)). This voice gap has direct

[3] Artificial Intelligence and Multiliteracies: Preparing Learners for a Technol...

[4] Prompt engineering as a new 21st century skill

[13] Beginner Spanish student experiences with AI and teacher written corrective f...

[7] Educaci3n superior, inteligencia artificial y transformaci3n digital en Ame...

equity implications, as policies developed without student input often fail to address real-world usage patterns and create unintended academic consequences for marginalized populations [2]. **Organizing Precedents**

Student movements have successfully influenced institutional technology policies through evidence-based advocacy and strategic coalition building. Privacy advocacy campaigns have established precedents for student-led review committees that monitor educational technology implementations, particularly around data collection and surveillance concerns [14]. Digital equity movements have demonstrated the effectiveness of cross-campus organizing that partners student governments with academic departments to address technology access disparities. The critical educational perspective focused on equity and social justice has proven effective in previous advocacy efforts around educational technology adoption [15]. Successful models typically combine formal representation on institutional committees with external pressure campaigns that mobilize student testimony and usage data. Coalition building with faculty allies has been particularly effective when grounded in shared concerns about educational quality rather than oppositional framing. These precedents show that student advocacy gains traction when it addresses institutional needs for authentic implementation data while advancing equitable educational practices. **Equity and Access Barriers**

Students face multiple equity barriers in AI adoption, including cost prohibitions for premium tools, infrastructure requirements that exclude those with limited technology access, and language limitations that disadvantage non-native speakers [3]. Disability accommodations represent another significant gap, as many AI tools lack accessibility features for students with visual, hearing, or motor impairments. These barriers create stratified access where economically advantaged students gain proficiency through paid tools and personal technology resources while others rely on limited institutional offerings. The absence of comprehensive disability frameworks in AI tool development particularly affects students who require accommodations for equal educational access, reinforcing existing achievement gaps through technological marginalization.

Conclusion

The central conflict is clear. Students are caught between the immense pressure to use AI for academic and organizational efficiency and institutional policies that are restrictive, unhelpful, and created without our voice. This briefing demonstrates that while students are already using these tools to dramatically improve our own work, as seen with the mutual aid network,

[2] Empoderando a bibliotecarios del Sur Global a través de la alfabetización c...

[14] La inteligencia artificial y la traducción automática no van a acabar con l...

[15] Cultures inclusives et accompagnement d'élèves du secondaire : de fis d'un ...

[3] Artificial Intelligence and Multiliteracies: Preparing Learners for a Technol...

the official response has been to limit and police rather than educate and empower. This gap represents a critical failure of governance and a direct threat to our agency. This dynamic directly weakens our organizing capacity. When policy is developed in a vacuum, it creates confusion, fosters distrust, and forces students to operate in the shadows, fracturing our potential for collective action. We cannot build power if we are constantly navigating arbitrary or ill-informed restrictions on the very tools that could amplify our efforts. To reclaim control, we must mobilize around two urgent priorities. First, we must establish Student-Led AI Ethics Review Boards to inject our perspective directly into the policy-making process. Second, we must use these boards to push for comprehensive AI literacy programs that address real student needs, not just punitive restrictions. The timeline for action is immediate. We must draft a formal proposal for the creation of a pilot Student AI Review Board within the next 30 days. This document must be presented at the next campus administration board meeting this semester. Our collective academic and operational future depends on our willingness to organize and demand a seat at the table now.

References

1. Transformacio n Docente con IA: Agenda Institucional para Universidades de Me xico y la Regio n
2. Empoderando a bibliotecarios del Sur Global a trave s de la alfabetizacio n cri tica en IA para futuros sostenibles
3. Artificial Intelligence and Multiliteracies: Preparing Learners for a Technologically Evolving World
4. Prompt engineering as a new 21st century skill
5. E tica de la IA generativa en la formacio n legal universitaria
6. Disen o de prompts educativos en contextos de aprendizaje colaborativo
7. Educacio n superior, inteligencia artificial y transformacio n digital en Ame rica Latina y el Caribe
8. Nursing and midwifery students' ethical views on the acceptability of using AI machine translation software
9. La IA amenaza con contaminar la ciencia
10. Educacio n primaria y secundaria y los principios e ticos del uso de la inteligencia artificial
11. Benchmarking and Validation of Prompting Techniques for AI-Assisted Industrial PLC Programming

12. Enseñar o enganar: el lado oscuro de ChatGPT en el aprendizaje del CLE
13. Beginner Spanish student experiences with AI and teacher written corrective feedback: an exploratory study
14. La inteligencia artificial y la traducción automática no van a acabar con la enseñanza de idiomas
15. Cultures inclusives et accompagnement d'élèves du secondaire : de fin d'un Programme interdisciplinaire de citoyenneté numérique (PIC)
16. Reconstruyendo las competencias de los supervisores de posgrado desde la perspectiva de la inteligencia artificial
17. iaPWeb. Análisis de las Inteligencias Artificiales Generativas de código para programación web
18. Generative AI in Health Education: A Curriculum Framework to Build Student Literacy, Academic Capability, and Assessment Design (Practice report)
19. A Framework for Automated Student Grading Using Large Language Models
20. La dimensión funcional y técnica en la alfabetización en Inteligencia Artificial Generativa en la formación inicial del profesorado: un estudio cualitativo en la Facultad ...
21. Inteligencia Artificial Generativa en la formación docente: Uso de prompts para el diseño de planeaciones didácticas y sus implicaciones pedagógicas
22. AI · GPT
23. Il faut repenser la place de la compétence numérique dans le système éducatif québécois
24. Apprivoiser l'IA en enseignement postsecondaire: perspectives croisées des apprenants et apprenantes et du personnel enseignant au Nouveau-Brunswick
25. ChatGPT for Science Lesson Planning: An Exploratory Study Based on Pedagogical Content Knowledge
26. Navegando la investigación social en la era digital: una guía práctica para el análisis cualitativo y cuantitativo con apoyo de Inteligencia Artificial (IA)
27. La pedagogía del PowerPoint en la era de la IA: viaje desde la abulia digital a la co-creación

28. Enseñar e investigar con inteligencia artificial: una llamada a la reflexión
29. IA génératives: activités de branches pour des interactions de qualité avec l'IA
30. Uso de inteligencia artificial generativa para docentes de nivel universitario
31. Informing Socially Appropriate Robot Behaviour under Data Distribution Shifts using Continual Learning
32. Transforming Ophthalmic Education With Large Language Models
33. Diagnosing collaboration in practice-based learning: Equality and intra-individual variability of physical interactivity
34. Design and evaluation of adaptive feedback to foster ICT information processing skills in young adults
35. LE ROLE DES TRADUCTEURS EN TANT QU'INGÉNIEURS DE PROMPT À L'ÈRE DE L'INTELLIGENCE ARTIFICIELLE
- 36.
37. Adaptive and Generalizable Vision-Language Models
38. Implicaciones éticas del uso de Inteligencia Artificial en educación superior
39. Exploring large language models for indoor occupancy measurement in smart office buildings
40. The Evolution of Image Captioning Models: Trends, Techniques, and Future Challenges
41. Inteligencia Artificial y su repercusión en la Educación Superior
42. Generative artificial intelligence 6: simulation
43. Propuesta de guía didáctica para la capacitación docente en el uso de IA
44. Developing prompt engineering skills in the pre-service training of foreign language educator
45. Casos simulados con Inteligencia Artificial: Guía práctica
46. Impulsando la Alfabetización digital en IA. El caso de la Biblioteca de la Universidad de León
47. Comment on Online and Chatgpt-generated patient education materials regarding brain tumor prognosis fail to meet readability standards

48. Perfil de Alfabetizaci3n en IA de la Facultad de Educaci3n: Muestra de la Universidad de Dicle1
49. Entrenamiento en modelos de ia generativa para crear recomendaciones de actividades tecnol3gicas escolares, basados en las orientaciones curriculares de ...
50. A Prompting Framework for GPT-Based Twitter/X User Classification in the Context of Disasters
51. Previsi3n de l'impacte d'articles científics basada abstracts mitjanç ant models de llenguatge de gran escala
52. The DKAP Project The Country Report of Vietnam
53. Teacher professional development for a future with generative artificial intelligence - an integrative literature review
54. Exploring High School EFL Teachers' Experiences with Magic School AI in Lesson Planning: Benefits and Insights
55. A STUDY ON THE USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TO BOOST PRODUCTIVITY AND CAREER ADVANCEMENT FOR GIG WORKERS IN THE EDUCATION SECTORS
56. El aula expandida con inteligencia artificial
57. Inteligencia artificial en el desarrollo de habilidades para la escritura de ensayos acad3micos en educaci3n b3sica secundaria
58. La IA como estrategia innovadora en la enseñanza de educaci3n superior
59. Going with the Flow: Approximating Banzhaf Values via Graph Neural Networks
60. Les langues comptent. Orientations mondiales pour une e ducation multilingue.
61. THE IMPACT OF MORPHOSYNTACTIC FEATURES ON AI-GENERATED TEXTS
62. La IA y la publicidad enganosa. Desarrollo de una aplicaci3n web para la detecci3n de elementos engañosos en anuncios publicitarios.
63. On the Evaluation of Large Language Models in Multilingual Vulnerability Repair
64. Desafíos y potencial de la IA en la educaci3n: percepciones y barreras desde la perspectiva docente

Week's Selected Article Corpus

1. Ética de la IA generativa en la formación legal universitaria
2. Empoderando a bibliotecarios del Sur Global a través de la alfabetización crítica en IA para futuros sostenibles
3. Prompt engineering as a new 21st century skill
4. Reconstruyendo las competencias de los supervisores de posgrado desde la perspectiva de la inteligencia artificial
5. Transformación Docente con IA: Agenda Institucional para Universidades de México y la Región
6. Educación primaria y secundaria y los principios éticos del uso de la inteligencia artificial
7. iaPWeb. Análisis de las Inteligencias Artificiales Generativas de código para programación web
8. Generative AI in Health Education: A Curriculum Framework to Build Student Literacy, Academic Capability, and Assessment Design (Practice report)
9. A Framework for Automated Student Grading Using Large Language Models
10. La dimensión funcional y técnica en la alfabetización en Inteligencia Artificial Generativa en la formación inicial del profesorado: un estudio cualitativo en la Facultad ...
11. Artificial Intelligence and Multiliteracies: Preparing Learners for a Technologically Evolving World
12. Inteligencia Artificial Generativa en la formación docente: Uso de prompts para el diseño de planeaciones didácticas y sus implicaciones pedagógicas
13. Enseñar o enganar: el lado oscuro de ChatGPT en el aprendizaje del CLE
14. AI · GPT
15. Educación superior, inteligencia artificial y transformación digital en América Latina y el Caribe
16. Il faut repenser la place de la compétence numérique dans le système éducatif québécois

17. Apprivoiser l'IA en enseignement postsecondaire: perspectives croisées des apprenants et apprenantes et du personnel enseignant au Nouveau-Brunswick
18. Beginner Spanish student experiences with AI and teacher written corrective feedback: an exploratory study
19. ChatGPT for Science Lesson Planning: An Exploratory Study Based on Pedagogical Content Knowledge
20. Navegando la investigación social en la era digital: una guía práctica para el análisis cualitativo y cuantitativo con apoyo de Inteligencia Artificial (IA)
21. La pedagogía del PowerPoint en la era de la IA: viaje desde la abulia digital a la co-creación
22. Enseñar e investigar con inteligencia artificial: una llamada a la reflexión
23. IA génératives: activités de branches pour des interactions de qualité avec l'IA
24. Uso de inteligencia artificial generativa para docentes de nivel universitario
25. Informing Socially Appropriate Robot Behaviour under Data Distribution Shifts using Continual Learning
26. Transforming Ophthalmic Education With Large Language Models
27. Diagnosing collaboration in practice-based learning: Equality and intra-individual variability of physical interactivity
28. Design and evaluation of adaptive feedback to foster ICT information processing skills in young adults
29. LE RÔLE DES TRADUCTEURS EN TANT QU'INGÉNIEURS DE PROMPT À L'ÈRE DE L'INTELLIGENCE ARTIFICIELLE
- 30.
31. Adaptive and Generalizable Vision-Language Models
32. Implicaciones éticas del uso de Inteligencia Artificial en educación superior
33. Benchmarking and Validation of Prompting Techniques for AI-Assisted Industrial PLC Programming
34. Exploring large language models for indoor occupancy measurement in smart office buildings

35. The Evolution of Image Captioning Models: Trends, Techniques, and Future Challenges
36. Inteligencia Artificial y su repercusión en la Educación Superior
37. Generative artificial intelligence 6: simulation
38. Propuesta de guía didáctica para la capacitación docente en el uso de IA
39. Diseño de prompts educativos en contextos de aprendizaje colaborativo
40. Developing prompt engineering skills in the pre-service training of foreign language educator
41. Casos simulados con Inteligencia Artificial: Guía práctica
42. Impulsando la Alfabetización digital en IA. El caso de la Biblioteca de la Universidad de León
43. Comment on Online and Chatgpt-generated patient education materials regarding brain tumor prognosis fail to meet readability standards
44. Perfil de Alfabetización en IA de la Facultad de Educación: Muestra de la Universidad de Cádiz
45. Entrenamiento en modelos de IA generativa para crear recomendaciones de actividades tecnológicas escolares, basados en las orientaciones curriculares de ...
46. A Prompting Framework for GPT-Based Twitter/X User Classification in the Context of Disasters
47. Previsió de l'impacte d'articles científics basada abstracts mitjançant models de llenguatge de gran escala
48. The DKAP Project The Country Report of Vietnam
49. Teacher professional development for a future with generative artificial intelligence - an integrative literature review
50. Exploring High School EFL Teachers' Experiences with Magic School AI in Lesson Planning: Benefits and Insights
51. A STUDY ON THE USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TO BOOST PRODUCTIVITY AND CAREER ADVANCEMENT FOR GIG WORKERS IN THE EDUCATION SECTORS
52. El aula expandida con inteligencia artificial
53. Inteligencia artificial en el desarrollo de habilidades para la escritura de ensayos académicos en educación básica secundaria

54. La IA como estrategia innovadora en la enseñanza de educación superior
55. Cultures inclusives et accompagnement d'élèves du secondaire : de fis d un Programme interdisciplinaire de citoyenneté numérique (PIC)
56. Going with the Flow: Approximating Banzhaf Values via Graph Neural Networks
57. Les langues comptent. Orientations mondiales pour une éducation multilingue.
58. THE IMPACT OF MORPHOSYNTACTIC FEATURES ON AI-GENERATED TEXTS
59. Navigating the role of artificial intelligence in special education: advantages, disadvantages, and ethical considerations
60. La IA y la publicidad engañosa. Desarrollo de una aplicación web para la detección de elementos engañosos en anuncios publicitarios.
61. On the Evaluation of Large Language Models in Multilingual Vulnerability Repair
62. Desafíos y potencial de la IA en la educación: percepciones y barreras desde la perspectiva docente